Augmented Reality and Point Set Matching

Author: Karl - Walter Sillaots

What is Augmented Reality (AR)?

- Mixed interactive experience real-world environment with computer-generated information
 - Visual
 - Auditory
 - Optionally, haptic, neural, smell
- System that fulfils 3 conditions:
 - A combination of real and virtual worlds
 - Real-time interaction
 - Accurate 3D registration of virtual and real objects

Current Use Cases?

- Not used often in commercial products
- Furniture
- Visual aid
- "Augments" the environment

Devices

- Camera to perceive the world and make decisions from it
 - A computer with a camera
 - Smart devices (phones, tablets)
 - Head-Mounted Display

Virtual Reality or Augmented Reality?

• VR – The perception of reality is all based on virtual information



Virtual Reality or Augmented Reality?

• AR – Part of the environment is "real", with virtual objects on top of it



Augmented Reality History

• Note - VR and AR didn't exist at this point, only Artificial Reality

- The Sword of Damocles
 - Created by Ivan Sutherland
- 2 CRT screens for either eye
 - Screens display 2D objects at different angles
- Head position sensors
- Wireframe objects



- Glowflow
 - Myron Krueger
 - Wanted Artificial Reality that didn't need goggles or gloves to interact
- Dark room filled with phosphorescent pigments
- Floor sensors reacted to movement, synthesizer sounds, origin, lighting
- Issues with trigger mechanisms



- Metaplay
- 2 Rooms connected through a video feed
- "Artist" sees others through camera, can interact with a drawing tablet
- Participants saw themselves project on media screen, on which the artist can write and draw on



- Psychic Space
- Advancement of Glowflow
- Improved sensor detection
- Invisible maze, user location displayed on screen with "wall" locations

- Videoplace
- 2 rooms where image of one side projected to other. Both participants see the same image
- More interactivity
 - Playing with a virtual ball
 - Changing their displayed image, resizing, rotating
 - Typing text
- Computer Vision



- The term "Augmented Reality" was used for the first time
 - Thomas Caudell (David Mizell)
- Developed an industrial AR head mounted display
- Displays computer-generated diagrams of the manufacturing process
- Branched off as industrial AR (supporting industrial work)

- Virtual Fixtures
 - Louis Rosenberg
- First fully functional AR system
- Displays and overlays virtual sensor information
- 3D graphics slow at that time, uses 2 physical robots instead
- Binocular magnifiers aligned user view with robot arms for better immersion



1994-1998

- Various entertainment use cases
- Sportsvision displaying a yellow line in American Football games
 - Individually displayed and updated for every camera showing games
 - Samples field / players for line occlusion effects



• NASA hybrid synthetic vision system for spacecraft

- Open-source software library ARToolKit developed.
- Video tracking to overlay virtual graphics on top of it.
- Still being updated today

2001-2013

- 2003 NFL usage of Skycam for virtual markers
- 2009 Esquire Magazine with scannable barcodes to display AR content
- 2013 Volkswagen using AR as car manuals (MARTA)

2014,2016

- Google Glass, Microsoft Hololens
- More immersive alternatives to smartphones
- Google Glass had a camera, touchpad, voice commands.
- Hololens have an accelerometer, gyroscope, magnetometer, depth-camera, multiple microphones, light sensor.



The Future?



Feature Detection

Feature Detection

- A subcategory of computer vision and image processing
- Methods to compute image information
- "Feature" means "interesting" part of an image
- 4 general types
 - Edges
 - Corners (Interest points)
 - Blobs (Regions of interest points)
 - Ridges

Edge Detection

- Detect parts where brightness changes sharply
- Good in image processing, not AR.
- Marker-based solutions could still use this (searching for specific image)



Corners

- An interest point where there is an intersection of 2 edges.
- Ends of a parabola
- Markerless AR



Blobs

- General analysis of image
- Find regions that differ in properties
 - Brightness
 - Color
- Smooth areas
- Markerless AR



Ridges

- For elongated objects
- 1D curves
- Harder to compute
- Road detection on aerial images



Object Placement

- Once the system understands the environment, it needs a way to place objects:
 - Marker-based
 - Markerless
 - Location based

Marker-Based

- Virtual object placed on a "marker"
 - Detectable image
- Can only visualize one object per image



Marker-Based



Markerless

- Uses feature points to detect surfaces
- Generates planes with feature points (plane detection)
- Objects placeable on planes.
- Only supports horizontal/vertical surfaces
- Doesn't work with flat colored surfaces



Location based

- Uses real-world coordinates to estimate where to place objects
 - Latitude, longitude, altitude
- Better for outdoors environments
- More advanced features currently only on iOS

What about point cloud based?

- Master thesis study utilize AR on a lower level
- Detecting and saving feature points as point clouds
- Comparing point clouds and matching them
- Saved point cloud can have special object locations added to visualize once point clouds matched.

Problem 1 – Persistent Data

- Feature points are deleted when no longer seen by a device
- A separate container to store all visible points

Problem 2 – Excessive Data

- Too many points are saved, causes slowdown
- Check nearby points to see if a point should be added?
 - Would require traversing the entire collection of points for each point O(n)
- Octrees
 - 3D cube dividing to a minimum size
 - Only compare points within divided cube
 - Finding time now $O(\log n)$



Problem 3 – Saving Point Clouds

- A way to save and load point clouds in some form of data
- Each point is a 3D position
- Write the coordinates as a binary stream

Problem 4 – Comparing Point Clouds

- Many potential issues
 - Individual points, not planes lots of comparisons between points
 - Tens of thousands of points per PC
 - Unique number of points per PC
 - Many points that can't be directly matched with each other
 - Inaccurately placed points (outliers)
 - Coordinate systems of points clouds not matching

Point Set Registration

- Finding a spatial transformation that aligns two point clouds
 - Scaling
 - Rotation
 - Translation
- 2 finite point sets in a finite-dimensional real vector space

Point Set Registration

- Finding a spatial transformation that aligns two point clouds
 - Scaling
 - Rotation
 - Translation
- 2 finite point sets in a finite-dimensional real vector space
- Transformation types:
 - Rigid Registration 2 separate point clouds matched without the distance between 2 points of a point cloud changing. Just translation and rotation of the point clouds
 - Non-Rigid Registration allows non-linear transformation, scaling included

Point Set Registration

- One of the issues was point having deviations (outliers, different point locations)
- Non-rigid should be the solution
- Algorithms that cover this area:
 - SG4PCS
 - 2PNS
 - ACPD

Before That

- RANSAC Random Sample Consensus.
- Picks 3 random points from either point cloud
- Computations to count points from one point cloud that are close to points in other.
- If point count large enough, accepted as answer.
 - Otherwise it repeats.

- "Fast", robust alignment scheme for 3D point sets.
- Resilient to noise and outliers, even with small overlap

- 1. Uses coplanar sets of 4 point rather than minimum of 3, to apply a technique to match pairs of affine invariant ratios in 3D
 - Coplanar Same plane
 - Affine Preservation of lines and parallelism, but not distance
 - Invariant Property that remains unchanged after transformations





- 2. Select a base of 4 coplanar points in PC P
- Find all 4-point sets in target PC Q, that are approximately congruent with base points.
- Done in $O(n^2 + k)$ time
 - n Number of points in Q
 - k Number of 4-point sets.

- 3. For each 4-point set from Q, compute aligning transformation T, retain best transformation based on Largest Common Pointset score.
- Repeat in RANSAC scheme until good solution found or maximum iterations reached.
- LCP problem
 - Given 2 point sets P and Q, under δ -congruence, Find largest countable subset of P called P' where distance between T(P') and Q is less than δ . T being a rigid transform.

- 4. First step of each RANSAC iteration pick a random base of 4 coplanar points.
- Picks first 3 randomly to create a wide triangle.
- 4th selected is close to the planar of the other 3.
- Testing all S point in P, picking the one that fits best
- Complexity O(S)



- 5. $\mathcal{B} = \{A, B, C, D\}$, where *E* is intersection of *AB* and *CD* • $r_1 = \frac{||A-E||}{||A-B||}, r_2 = \frac{||C-E||}{||C-D||}$ • $d_1 = ||A - B||, d_2 = ||C - D||$
- Ratios r_1 and r_2 remain invariant under affine transformation, and therefore under rigid motion
- Distances preserved with rigid transformations these 4 invariants used for searching congruent 4-point sets in ${\cal Q}$



•
$$r_1 = \frac{||A-E||}{||A-B||}$$
, $r_2 = \frac{||C-E||}{||C-D||}$

- $d_1 = ||A B||, d_2 = ||C D||$
- 6. Extract all pairs of points and distance d_1 or d_2 from Q
- $O(N^2)$ time
 - N amount of points in Q
- For each extracted pair $(Q_1, Q_2) \in Q$ with distances d_1 or d_2 , compute intermediate points E_1, E_2



- For each extracted pair $(Q_1, Q_2) \in Q$ with distances d_1 or d_2 , compute intermediate points E_1, E_2
- 7. 2 pairs whose E_1, E_2 are coincident form a 4-point base related with \mathcal{B} by an affine transformation
- Intermediate points from pairs at d_1 used to build an approximate range tree structure
 - $O(M \log M)$, where M is number of pairs
 - Query time $O(\log M + K)$, where K is number of points needed to get



- Intermediate points from pairs at d_1 used to build an approximate range tree structure
 - $O(M \log M)$, where M is number of pairs
 - Query time $O(\log M + K)$, where K is number of points needed to get
- 8. Intermediate points from pairs at d_2 used to query the tree
- Result is K 4-point sets from pairs
- O(K) time to remove non-rigid sets



- Improves certain search stages to decrease complexity from quadratic to linear time.
- Supposedly works with about 25% overlap with 20% outlier margin.
- Complexity decreased to O(N + M + K) by solving 2 bottlenecks
 - Pair Extraction (2) $O(N^2 + K)$
 - Verification (8) O(K)

- First bottleneck
- 2. Select a base of 4 coplanar points in PC P
- Find all 4-point sets in target PC Q, that are approximately congruent with base points.
- Done in $O(n^2 + k)$ time
 - n Number of points in Q
 - k Number of 4-point sets.

- 2. Select a base of 4 coplanar points in PC P
- Find all 4-point sets in target PC *Q*, that are approximately congruent with base points.
- Now find points close to spheres centered in $Q_i \in Q$ with radius $d_1 \pm \epsilon$ and $d_2 \pm \epsilon$, where ϵ is noise tolerance
- Pair extraction reduced to O(n)



Second bottleneck

- Intermediate points from pairs at d_1 used to build an approximate range tree structure
 - $O(M \log M)$, where *M* is number of pairs
 - Query time $O(\log M + K)$, where K is number of points needed to get
- 8. Intermediate points from pairs at d_2 used to query the tree
- Result is K 4-point sets from pairs
- O(K) time to remove non-rigid sets

- O(K) time to remove non-rigid sets
- Now extract only congruent 4-point bases that are rigid-invariant, so verification not needed.
- 4-point set congruent to base from P if it's composed of pairs with correct length (d_1, d_2) and angle ϕ between them similar to angle formed by the 2 pairs in the base

- Represent each point by intermediate point *E* and orientation.
- d_1 pairs hashed by this position and orientation, mapped to a spherical map.
- In query stage (7), get cells in a regular grid using *E*.
- Query sphere map using a d_2 pair direction, find all pairs with angle ϕ in regards to query direction. A cone of aperture 2ϕ is intersected around the query direction.
- Complexity O(M + K)



Generalized 4-Point Congruent Sets (G4PCS)

- Alternate advancement of 4PCS
- Different definition of the 4-point base
- X = {A, B, C, D}, where AB does NOT always lie on same plane as CD

•
$$r_1 = \frac{||a-x||}{||a-b||}, r_2 = \frac{||c-y||}{||c-d||}$$

• $d_3 = ||x-y||$



Generalized 4-Point Congruent Sets (G4PCS)

- Predefining values of d_1, d_2, d_3 to sample only bases that satisfy them.
- Any wide base now sampled from P, then d_i
- Bases storable in a 2D hash table based on ratios r_1, r_2
- Should lessen the amount of bases found



Super Generalized 4PCS (SG4PCS)

Combination of S4PCS and G4PCS

Algorithm 1 The Super Generalized 4PCS Algorithm Input: Target and source point sets, P and Q Output: Best transformation according to LCP, T_{best} 1: $d_1 = d_2 = fractional_overlap \times model_diameter$ 2: Extract d_1, d_2 pairs from P 3: Extract d_1, d_2 pairs from Q 4: Initialize a 4D hash table H to store intersecting pairs Compute all valid 3D intersections in Q and store in H 6: L = number of RANSAC iterations 7: $T_{best} = 0$ 8: for l = 0 to L do B = random base from P 9: $r_{1B}, r_{2B}, d_{3B}, \alpha_B$ are invariants of B 10: $C = ExtractCongruent(r_{1B}, r_{2B}, d_{3B}, \alpha_B)$ 11: $T_B =$ Transformation with highest LCP from C 12: if $LCP(T_{best}) < LCP(T_B)$ then 13: $T_{best} = T_B$ 14: end if 15: 16: end for

- Alternative to S4PCS using a different approach to 3D registration
- Using normals instead
- Rigid transformation T computable from 2 points plus normal of one point
- Reduces needed comparisons

- 1. Computing point normals
- PC surface normal estimation, PlanePCA?
- 2 solutions for each normal vector
- Fails when normals not estimated correctly
 - Sparse PC
 - Mostly sharp edges and corners

- 2. 2PNS search to obtain existing matches
- Take 2 points and normals from source PC P
- Extracts pairs
 - d = ||A B||
 - angle $\theta = \angle(n_A, n_B)$
- Verify 3 additional angles to prevent non-rigid solutions
- Angles preserved under rigid transformation



- 3. Estimation of R
- Let (A', B') with normals n_A, n_B , be pair of points in PC Q, congruent with pair in P
- Estimate rigid transformation and compute their rotation $R = R_{\alpha} \cdot R_{\beta}$
 - R_a aligns vectors
 - R_{β} aligns normal vectors



- Estimate rigid transformation and compute their rotation $R = R_{\alpha} \cdot R_{\beta}$
 - R_a aligns vectors
 - R_{β} aligns normal vectors
- R_{α} simple rotation to align 2 vectors
 - $v_1 = B A, v_2 = B' A'$
 - $\omega_{\alpha} = v_1 \times v_2$
 - $\alpha = \cos^{-1}(v_1 \cdot v_2)$
- R_{β} found by rotating angle β around axis v_2
 - $n_P^* = R_\alpha$
 - $n_P, P = A, B$
- $\beta' = \pi \beta$
- Translation estimated from R



- Probabilistic approach to align point sets.
- Consider problem as probability density estimation problem, fit Gaussian Mixture Model centroids by maximizing likelihood.
- Let $X_{\{M \times d\}} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_M)^T$ be the template PC and $Y_{\{N \times d\}} = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_N)^T$ the target PC
 - d PC dimension (3)
 - M and N Amount of points in X and Y.





- $X_{\{M \times d\}} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_M)^T$ template PC
- $Y_{\{N \times d\}} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N)^T$ target PC
- Uses weighted GMM probability density function. Noise or outliers accounted as

•
$$p(y) = \omega \frac{1}{N} + (1 - \omega) \sum_{(m=1)}^{M} \frac{1}{M} p(y|m)$$

• $p(y|m) = \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{d}{2}}}\right) \exp\left(-\left(\frac{||y-x_m||^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)\right)$

- ω Weight of uniform distance between 0 to 1.
- σ Standard deviation







 Next step – using expectation-maximization scheme (EM) to find final 3D rigid transformation.

 \sim

• E-step (posterior probability of GMM)

•
$$P^{(i)}(m|y_n) = \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\frac{y_n - T(x_m,\phi^{(i)})}{\sigma^{(i)}}\right\|^2\right)}{\sum_{k=1}^{M} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\frac{y_n - T(x_m,\phi^{(i)})}{\sigma^{(i)}}\right\|^2\right) + \left(2\pi(\sigma^{(i)})^2\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\omega}{1 - \omega N}$$

- Calculate correspondence probability matrix
 - $P = [P(m|y_n)]_{M \times N}$

- M-step, new parameter set calculated by maximizing auxiliary function $Q(\Theta, \Theta^{(i)})$, upper bound for log-likelihood function
- $L(\Theta) = \log(\prod_{n=1}^{N} (p(y_n))) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log \sum_{m=1}^{M+1} P(m) p(y_n|m)$

Accelerated Coherent Point Drift (ACPD)

- CPD suffers from high computational complexity / convergence to local minima.
- ACPD offers 2 methods to speed up performance

Accelerated Coherent Point Drift (ACPD)

- 1. Speed up Expectation-Maximization
 - gSQUAREM
- 2. Calculating probability matrix P more efficiently
 - DT-IFGT
 - Reduces $O(M \cdot N)$ to O(M + N)

